



Argonne
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

... for a brighter future



U.S. Department
of Energy

UChicago ►
Argonne_{LLC}



**Office of
Science**
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

A U.S. Department of Energy laboratory
managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC

The APS Renewal: SAC Perspective

Glenn Waychunas

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

APS SAC Member

User Week 2009

May 4-6, 2009

Advanced Photon Source

Argonne National Laboratory

SAC Membership

- The APS SAC includes expertise on all of the major research areas supported at the APS. It also includes the experience of directors or associate directors from the ALS, SSRL, Photon Factory and ESRF facilities.

List includes
Members from
2008 thru 2009

Miles Klein (Chair) Univ. Illinois Urbana-Champaign	Experimental Condensed Matter Physics
Jens Als-Nielsen Neils Bohr Institut, Copenhagen	X-ray Physics
Michelle Buchanan Assoc. Dir. ORNL	Molecular analysis, protein interactions
Howard Einspar Research Fellow Bristol-Myers-Squibb (ret)	Protein Crystallography drug design
Britt Hedman Dep. Dir. SSRL	Biophysical, inorganic and structural chemistry; XAS
Louise Johnson Oxford Univ.	Molecular Biophysics
Janos Kirz Sci. Dir. ALS	X-ray microscopy
Dan Neumann NIST Center for Neutron Research	Structure and dynamics in molecular materials
Piero Pianetta Dep. Dir. SSRL	X-ray microanalysis at surfaces interfaces
William Stirling Dir. Gen. ESRF	Magnetic scattering
Soichi Wakatsuki Dir. Photon Factory	Protein Crystallography
Glenn Waychunas ESD LBNL	Geochemistry and Environmental Science
Don Weidner SUNY Stony Brook	Geophysics
Wei Yang Chief, Structural Biology Section NIH	Molecular Biology, Protein Crystallography
Laurence Lurio N. Illinois Univ. Chair, APSUO	Coherent x-ray scattering Physics of soft materials
Denis Keane Dir. DND CAT Chair, APS Partner User Council	Surface x-ray scattering

Charges to the SAC for the Renewal process

- Advise on specific scientific themes featured in renewal application
- Conduct scientific reviews focused on areas critical to the renewal
- Advise on significant issues/strategy affecting the renewal
- Advise on and monitor stepwise developments needed for renewal
- Comment on specific scientific, management and staffing considerations with respect to the renewal

Charges to the SAC for the Renewal process

- Advise on specific scientific themes featured in renewal application

Key issues:

- Identify current scientific strengths and uniqueness of the APS (e.g. high energy, pulse structure) to be protected and extended
- Identify science areas where renewal presents opportunities (e.g. large extension of current capabilities; entire new scientific direction)
- Identify specific investigations that showcase potential new science areas (a Renewal Workshop charge)
- Evaluate theme proposals/initiatives and instrumentation developments for scientific merit, agency interest, user community

Charges to the SAC for the Renewal process

- Conduct scientific reviews focused on areas critical to the renewal

Cross cut reviews 2008

Geological, Environmental, and Planetary Science (Waychunas)

Atomic, Molecular, Optical, and Chemical Sciences (Buchanan)

Future Cross-cut Reviews to be based on Renewal themes

Interactions with relevant subgroups/committees

Teleconferencing/ e-mail discussions as needed (e.g. evaluate LOI's or peer reviews of beamline proposals)

Charges to the SAC for the Renewal process

- Advise on significant issues/strategy affecting the renewal plan

Key identified Issues:

Overall vision is crucial ingredient; focus on where APS will be in seven years (science, user community, beamline layout)

Are there areas where modest investments can create great enhancements in capabilities?

Should there be collateral development in analysis software for users? Long term consequences for support of such software?

Addressing of legacy issues; can current beamline locations be changed readily?

Improved integration of overall plan to include Life Science beamlines and “standardization” of PX beamlines

Charges to the SAC for the Renewal process

- Advise on and monitor stepwise developments needed for renewal

Suggested sequencing:

- Define process for renewal with milestones at User Meeting, Fall SAC meeting (hopefully with CD-0 in hand)
- Begin development of the components of the Conceptual Design Report (CDR)
- Develop initial floor plan accounting for vision, current SAC approved proposals
- Present plans to SAC at the January 2010 meeting including proposed upgrade components (beamlines, accelerator, infrastructure), iteration of proposed beamlines and beamline floor plan, etc.

Charges to the SAC for the Renewal process

- Comment on specific scientific, management and staffing considerations with respect to the renewal

Key issues:

- Meshing of renewal plans with funding for existing CATs for buildout/reinstrumentation of reconfigured beamlines
- How to handle new CAT proposals during renewal reconfiguration period?

Summary

- Excellent scientific expertise on SAC to evaluate programs critical for renewal; Significant management experience on SAC, including other facility renewals
- Ongoing SAC attentiveness to development of renewal strategies, to scrutiny of scientific areas emphasized in renewal, and to sequencing of renewal preparations
- Strong commitment of SAC to work with management and scientific staff to ensure a well-conceived and highly optimized renewal plan

And also (my own perspective):

Excellent job done by APS management to engage SAC in all pertinent aspects of renewal process